

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____	<i>Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.</i>	
Organization Name: _____		Renewal/Expansion Projects Threshold Review Complete
Project Type: _____ RRH	<i>If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.</i>	100%
Project Identifier: _____ 10		

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
-------------------------------	---------------

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

Yes to all

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>5. Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>7. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>8. Agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>9. Met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project's prior grants:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(a) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(c) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |
| <p>10. Met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD's financial expectations. If any of the following have occurred, the project applicant would <u>NOT</u> meet this threshold criteria:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e0e0e0; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">Yes</div> |

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 10

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

(b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
(f) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
11. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Documented, secured minimum match	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Project is financially feasible	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Applicant is active CoC participant	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Application is complete and data are consistent	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Data quality at or above 90%	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>
Documented organizational financial stability	<input type="button" value="Yes"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ RRH _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 60 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	15
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	75% move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	30
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 80
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 50% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 8% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 8% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of 10
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
formerly homeless or low income person?	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
RRH - Demonstrated cross systems partnerships & collaborations		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of 30
TOTAL SCORE				
			0	out of 140
Weighted Rating Score				
				out of 100

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ RRH _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)			
Amount of private funding		\$	-
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$	-
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year			0%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ PSH _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/>	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/>	out of 25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 23% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 45% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 8% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 30
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of 5
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
PSH - Does the board, or policy-making equivalent, include a formerly homeless or low income person?	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
PSH - On average, participants spend XX days in project.	180	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	out of 20
PSH - Demonstrated cross systems partnerships & collaborations	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of 50
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of 135
Weighted Rating Score				out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

\$ -

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ PSH _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)				
Amount of private funding			\$	
TOTAL PROJECT COST			\$	
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year		<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year				0%

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: RRH *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: 11

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

Yes to all

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information. Yes
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application. Yes
3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:
 - (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
 - (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.Yes
4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government. Yes
5. Applicant has Accounting System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received federal financial assistance or where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings. Yes
6. Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds. Yes
7. Demonstrated they are Eligible Project Applicants - Eligible project applicants for the CoC Program Competition are, under 24 CFR 578.15, nonprofit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of State and local governments. Public housing agencies, as such term is defined in 24 CFR 5.100, are eligible without limitation or exclusion. Neither for-profit entities nor Indian tribes are eligible to apply for grants or to be subrecipients of grant funds. Yes
8. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA. Yes
9. Demonstrated the project is cost-effective, including costs of construction, operations, and supportive services with such costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for the type of structure or kind of activity. Yes
10. Demonstrated they Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege. Yes
11. Demonstrated Project Meets Minimum Project Standards - HUD will assess all new projects for the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. Please note that these are minimum threshold criteria. CoCs and project applicants should carefully review each year's NOFA to ensure they understand and have accounted for all applicable standards. To be considered as meeting project quality threshold, all new projects must meet all of the following criteria:
 - (a) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) that are funded under the SHP, S+C, or CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings; Yes
 - (b) For expansion projects, project applicants must clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources; and, Yes

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 11

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

(c) Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85. Project applicants with existing projects must demonstrate that they have met all project renewal threshold requirements of this NOFA. HUD reserves the right to deny the funding request for a new project, if the request is made by an existing recipient that HUD finds to have significant issues related to capacity, performance, unresolved audit or monitoring finding related to one or more existing grants, or does not routinely draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on the prior grant.

Yes

12. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.

Yes

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Yes
Documented, secured minimum match	Yes
Project is financially feasible	Yes
Applicant is active CoC participant	Yes
Application is complete and data are consistent	Yes
Data quality at or above 90%	Yes
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	Yes
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Yes
Documented organizational financial stability	Yes

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

EXPERIENCE

A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of	15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 60px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
Experience Subtotal	0	out of	30

DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

A. Extent to which the applicant	<input style="width: 100px; height: 80px;" type="text"/>	out of	15
1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.			
2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served			
3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served.			
4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits			
5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.			
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of	25

TIMELINESS

Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of	0
----------------------------	----------	--------	----------

FINANCIAL

A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
B. Audit			
1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
C. Documented match amount	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	20

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
Financial Subtotal	0	out of 45
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 5
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Demonstrated cross system partnerships & collaborations	<input type="text"/>	10
HMIS equivalent database	<input type="text"/>	10
Formerly homeless or low-income individual on board	<input type="text"/>	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of 30
TOTAL SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE		0 out of 135
Weighted Rating Score		out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		\$ 25,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 25,000